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In preparing this Information Release, the Treasury has considered the public interest considerations in section 9(1) of the Official Information Act.
25 March 2013

Rt Hon John Key
Prime Minister
Parliament Buildings
Private Bag 18888
Wellington 6160  NEW ZEALAND

Dear Prime Minister

RE: NEW ZEALAND ALUMINIUM SMELTER LTD (NZAS)/MERIDIAN ENERGY LTD (MEL) ELECTRICITY CONTRACT NEGOTIATIONS

I write to you on a matter of considerable concern regarding the contract negotiations for the supply of electricity to the Tiwai Point Aluminium smelter. On Thursday 21 March, we received an ultimatum from Meridian Energy Limited (MEL) that, unless the Boards of Rio Tinto and Pacific Aluminium unconditionally and without modification accepted MEL’s terms by 9.00am on Monday 25 March, MEL’s offer would be withdrawn. This deadline was subsequently extended to 9.00am on Tuesday 26 March. Following the withdrawal of their offer, Meridian has advised us it intends to make a public statement that, in its opinion, the parties are unlikely to reach a commercial agreement to revise the electricity arrangements for NZAS.

As you foreshadowed to me in our meeting in June last year, we should expect a spirited and challenging negotiation as we aim to bring this to a successful outcome. Whilst that has certainly been true, the fact is that the parties have made more progress towards agreement in the past two weeks than they have in the previous nine months. It has therefore surprised and disappointed us that such an ultimatum has been issued at this time and the statement made that the parties are far apart and that agreement is unlikely. This is certainly not our view and we remain committed and optimistic that a successful outcome can be negotiated relatively quickly.

We have made it clear to Meridian that their unilateral decision to break off negotiations is unfair and unreasonable. It has also surprised us that the Meridian timing is also driven by an external matter; the impending float of Mighty River Power. This has never been considered relevant to the negotiations between Meridian and Pacific Aluminium.

In your own public statement last year when you foreshadowed the timetable for the Mighty River Power float for the June 2013 quarter, you acknowledged you did not expect the electricity contract for Tiwai Point would be resolved by that time.
Just today, Meridian has informed us it is considering withdrawing its ultimatum yet still proposes to issue a public statement that the parties are unlikely to reach a commercial agreement. Given recent progress in negotiations it is both confusing and not helpful in working towards a successful outcome.

Prime Minister, Pacific Aluminium have not ended negotiations because we believe an agreement is in sight, but arbitrarily imposing a two-day deadline on us to consider MEL’s counter offer is unreasonable. We would ask you to do everything in your power to encourage MEL not to break off negotiations, and come back to the table to continue the negotiations in good faith. We believe an outcome that is in the best interests of the two negotiating parties and all other stakeholders is within our grasp. Given the concerns raised my Meridian regarding the Mighty River Power float we wholeheartedly welcome Government involvement in the discussions.

I would appreciate the opportunity to put our perspective directly to you, either at a meeting in Wellington, or by telephone. I would welcome your consideration on the matter and your assistance in bringing this to a mutually satisfactory conclusion.

Yours sincerely

Sandeep Biswas
CEO Pacific Aluminium